1	MELINDA L. HAAG (CABN 132612) United States Attorney	
3	MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5	JOSEPH FAZIOLI (CABN 275564) TIMOTHY LUCEY (CABN 172332) Assistant United States Attorneys	EÒËZŠÒÖÄÄ & CEHE
6 7 8 9	150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, California 95113 Telephone: (408) 535-5595/5054 Facsimile: (408) 535-5066 joseph.fazioli@usdoj.gov timothy.lucey@usdoj.gov	
10	Attorneys for the United States	
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
12	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
14	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	) No. CR 09 - 0487 RMW
15	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER TO CONTINUE RESTITUTION HEARING
16	v.	
17	ALBERT KE-JUNG HU,	
18	Defendant.	) )
19		
20	WHEREAS, the defendant ALBERT KE-JUNG HU is scheduled to appear before this	
21	Court on Monday, April 15, 2013, for a hearing on restitution in the above-entitled action;	
22	WHEREAS, the parties have learned that Mr. Hu is currently in transit to his designated	
23	BOP facility in Lompoc, California, such that he will be unavailable to attend and participate in	
24	the hearing on April 15, 2013;	
25	WHEREAS, the parties are advised that it will take several weeks, at least, for the BOP,	
26	in coordination with the USMS, to arrange for Mr. Hu to return to the District so as to be present	
27	for the hearing on restitution;	
28	WHEREAS, counsel have conferred and determined that the next available date on their	
	STIPULATION AND [] ORDER CR 09-00487 RMW	

respective calendars, mindful of the transport timing issues referenced above, is Monday, June 10, 2013, at 9:00 a.m.;

WHEREAS, the parties have contacted this Court's deputy, who has confirmed that Monday, June 10, 2013, at 9:00 a.m., is an available and agreeable date for the Court's calendar;

WHEREAS, in light of the foregoing, the United States, the defendant, and the probation officer all jointly request that the restitution hearing in this matter be continued until June 10, 2013. The date of June 10, 2013 proposed by the parties is outside the ninety (90) day post-sentencing deadline for the determination of restitution put forward in 18 U.S.C. § 3664(d)(5). (The 90th day after sentencing would be April 16, 2013). However, both the Supreme Court and the Ninth Circuit have both ruled that the 90 day limit on the determination of victims losses in 18 U.S.C. § 3664(d)(5) is procedural rather than jurisdictional, that the time frame was established for the benefit of the potential victims, not the defendant, and that a district court judge has the power to impose a restitution award more than 90 days after sentencing. *See, e.g., Dolan v. United States*, 130 S.Ct. 2533 (2010); *United States v. Moreland*. 622 F.3d 1147, 1172 (9th Cir, 2010). Furthermore, the defendant is stipulating that a continuance beyond the 90 days deadline is appropriate and agrees not to raise any challenge to his restitution order based on that deadline.

THEREFORE, the parties jointly request and stipulate that the sentencing in this matter be continued until **Monday**, **June 10**, **2013**, **at 9:00 a.m.** 

## IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: 4/11/2013	JERRY FONG Attorney for ALBERT KE-JUNG HU
DATED: 4/11/2013	TIMOTHY J. LUCEY Assistant United States Attorney
IT IS SO ORDERED.  DATED:   #FG#FH	Ronald M. Whyte HON. RONALD M. WHYTE United States District Judge

STIPULATION AND ] ORDER CR 09-00487 RMW